INSIDE THE BOOK · FROM CHAPTER TWO

The 8 Archetypes of *Dissonance*

The eight ways leadership communication collapses.

An excerpt from the book by Michael J. Gonzales

My first job out of college, I wasn't just a teacher; I was an impostor with a syllabus.

I was teaching high school English, and I was everything I hoped to be but with an "un" on it: underprepared, unqualified, uncommitted, and deeply unsure of myself. I walked toward my classroom on that first day, trying to project a confidence I hadn't earned.

I opened the door to my first period juniors. Before I could even set my bag down, a kid in the front row took one look at me, stood up, and shouted, "Oh hell no!"

He didn't wait for my response. He walked straight past me to the registrar's office to request a new teacher.

The rest of the year was a slow-motion version of that first ten seconds. I struggled for every ounce of attention. I was a man drowning in a sea of teenage apathy. At the time, I did what many failing leaders do: I blamed my resources. I told myself I didn't have enough training. I told myself I had been dealt the "bad kids." I told myself the other first year teachers' students probably weren't swearing at them and trying to light the furniture on fire.

But the truth was much harsher. I wasn't lacking resources; I was lacking *resourcefulness*. I wasn't clear on my message, I didn't know my audience, and most damning of all, I didn't believe a word I was saying. My students could smell it on me from the hallway. I was a narrator who didn't believe in his own story.

At the time, I was oblivious to the invisible world of signals I was transmitting, but the results were undeniable. I was looking for resonance and finding only static. I was trying to lead, but I was structurally unsound, and the classroom was reacting to my instability with a precision that haunted me.

THE TURNING POINT

The Alien Abduction

In the middle of that desperate year, I invited a friend to speak to my class. He was a first year teacher and football coach. He was young, like me, but he carried himself with a gravity I couldn't replicate. I told him how challenging my students were and he said *"Oh yah? Let me talk to them."*

The following week I introduced him to an apathetic class and braced for the usual chorus of sighs and clicking pens. Instead, he stepped to the front of the room, introduced himself, then wrote three phrases on the board that landed like depth charges: *"Title 8. SNAP benefits. Eviction notices."*

He didn't lead with his resume. He led with the frequency of their lives. "These are the phrases that rang through my childhood," he said. Then he told a story of regular displacement, struggle just to eat, and the grit it took to overcome the odds. He took them on a journey from his humble beginnings to a star athlete then to a contributor in his community.

The room transformed. The kids who usually spent my class staring at the clock were leaning in, mesmerized. I found myself leaning in, too. For a moment, I wondered if aliens had abducted my rowdy students and replaced them with a cautious but engaged, empathetic audience.

What was he tapping into? It went deeper than words; he was speaking the language of Resonance. With the grace of a tightrope walker, he navigated an invisible path to their hearts, laying a foundation for their future as he moved. He didn't just meet them where they were. He transferred a spirit of overcoming. He had architected a bridge between his soul and theirs, while I was still busy building a fence.

THE REALIZATION

The Architecture of the Outlier

After class, I didn't just thank my friend. I cornered him. I was the boy in the garage again, desperate for the blueprint. *How did you do that? How did you keep their attention? How did you keep them from lighting stuff on fire?*

He gave me the first few pieces of the puzzle, but the rest I had to find myself over the next fifteen years. I realized that my "Oh hell no!" moment wasn't by accident; it was a failure of design. It was a response to my lack of preparation and the flashing sign on my forehead.

In my transition from the chalkboard to the "sharks" of Silicon Valley, I've identified at least eight distinct ways communicators go wrong. I haven't just studied these failures; I've lived them.

A STRUCTURAL AUDIT

The 8 Archetypes of Dissonance

1

The Data Dumper THE ARCHITECT OF VOLUME

They mistake “more” for “meaning.” Driven by an internal fear of being found lacking, they bury the audience under a mountain of evidence to prove they’ve done the work. They build a fortress of slides, but there is no room inside for the audience to breathe. They don’t want to connect; they want to be unassailable.

2

The Demolitionist THE ARCHITECT OF EGO

This architect believes that the best way to have the tallest is to tear everyone else’s down. They use their stage to minimize competitors, past leaders, or even their own team to maintain a high-status facade. They aren’t building a bridge; they are building a pedestal from the rubble they’ve created.

3

The Everything Burger THE ARCHITECT OF THE INDECISIVE

The architect who refuses to choose. By trying to make every option a priority, they build a structure with no front door. They are terrified that leaving something out makes them vulnerable, so they serve a “Burger” that no one can digest. If the leader won’t prioritize, the audience can’t mobilize.

4

The Crisis Hunter THE ARCHITECT OF THE DEFICIT

The fear-driven builder. They are trapped in a perpetual deficit, focused solely on the cracks in the wall and the train wreck they are certain is just around the corner. Because they live in a state of high-cortisol stress, they build environments of stress. They never stop to celebrate the standing structure because they are too busy hunting for the next crisis, leaving their team feeling like they, and the build, are never “good enough.”

5

All Heart, No Direction THE ARCHITECT OF THE CLOUDS

The architect of soaring, empty spaces. They launch into platitudes about “who we need to be,” but provide no path to walk down. They are a “Presenter Come Alive” without a blueprint. They fail the person on the front line because they haven’t translated their grand vision into a useful structure. They inspire for a moment, then leave the team lost in the woods without a compass.

6

All Head, No Heart THE ARCHITECT OF THE COLD ROOM

They hide behind the “truth of the matter,” over-indexing on logic because they believe being *right* is the only way to be significant. They use facts as armor to avoid the messiness of human connection. Often awkward and shielding themselves with “off” humor, they must learn that true significance comes from tapping into their “playful, free self” and building a room people actually want to live in.

7 The Presenter Bot THE ARCHITECT OF THE SCRIPT

The bot believes that if they simply mimic the motions of a "great presenter," they can script their way into a compelling moment. They treat leadership like an algorithm, copying someone else's blueprint to get a secondary outcome (a promotion, a "yes," a check) rather than honoring the room or expressing something true. It takes a massive structural retrofit to help them find their own core belief and stop acting out a role.

8 The Presenter Come Asleep THE SEDATED ARCHITECT

They are the opposite of Resonance. This is the leader who shows up "fried," either because they were so hyped up beforehand that their energy is already spent, or because they have truly checked out. They take the moment for granted, delivering a "ghost" performance. They aren't inhabiting the structure; they are just haunting it while the audience waits for a sign of life.

> *Leo Tolstoy famously observed that "all happy families are alike; each unhappy family is unhappy in its own way." In the theater of high-stakes communication, the same is true. Every dysfunctional presentation is its own unique disaster: one might drown the audience in a flood of data, another might starve them of empathy, and a third might simply collapse under the weight of an unchecked ego. There are a thousand ways to build a bridge that falls down.*

But the great presentations? The ones that move markets, win million-dollar contracts, and turn a hard "no" into a "where do I sign?" those are almost always built on the same unshakeable laws.

I spent the past 15 years in the boardrooms and auditoriums of Silicon Valley hunting for the "why." Why does one leader command the room while another loses the deal? The answer isn't a secret personality trait or a flash of charisma; it is a matter of architectural integrity. It is the ability to shift the "flashing sign" in the audience's mind from *I'm not sure* to *Let's go!*

KEY LESSONS · THE RESONANCE BRIEF

Resourcefulness vs. Resources

Stop blaming your tools, your people, or your "bad audience." Resonance starts with the internal clarity of the architect.

The Language of the Room

My friend didn't start with his goals; he started with their reality (Title 8, Eviction). To lead an audience, you must first find them where they live.

The Principle of Functionality

There are infinite ways to be boring, but only a few ways to be brilliant. Mastery is about shedding the "many wrongs" to find the "few rights."

Your move, *Architect.*

You've met the eight. Now admit which survival structure you've been building — take The Architect's Diagnostic (2 minutes) and get your primary default, your structural result, and your retrofit.

Then go deeper: the full field guide, the retrofits, and the complete build live in the book.

architectingresonance.com/excerpts.html · mike@architectingresonance.com

© 2026 Michael J. Gonzales. From *The Resonance Playbook: How Leaders Turn Clarity into Action.* This excerpt is shared for personal use — please don't reproduce without permission.